

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/809,885		03/16/2001	John L. Margravc	11321-P026US	7715	
47744	7590	7590 04/10/2006		EXAMINER		
ROSS SPE		ARSSON EST & MINICK P.C.		HENDRICKS		
P. O. BOX		LOT & MINIOR F.C.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS,	TX 7520	1	1754			
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/10/200	e	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)  MARGRAVE ET AL.	
	09/809,885		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stuart Hendrickson	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. Ty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  Apply be timely filed  FHS from the mailing date of this core  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>30 January 2006</u> .		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice t	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims	and 80-86, 88-93,95,96		
4) Claim(s) <u>52,54-58,60-62,64-68,70-72 au</u>		olication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	00 177 . 710		
6) Claim(s) <u>52,60-62,64-66,70-72,74,75 ar</u>	nd 80-86 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. $\leftarrow \varsigma_1$			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	· •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority doc			
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	•	· —	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	·	received in this National S	stage
application from the International	, , , ,	roopiyad	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not t	cceiveu.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/809,885

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The RCE filed 4/13/05 is accepted.

Claims 52, 70-72, 74, 75, 80-86, 88-93, 95, 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chen et al. 'Chemical attachment..'.

Chen teaches on the next-to-last page a Birch reduction experiment which appears to possess the claimed functional loading, given the elemental analysis.

Applicant's arguments filed 1/30/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant should provide data to show that the elemental analysis is wrong; it appears best to duplicate the reference and characterize the product. The specification does not indicate elevated temperature is required for functionalization, so arguments concerning the nature of the bonding are speculative. A copy of the Chen reference would be helpful. Note that the claims still recite amino; the rejection was not predicated upon hydrogen substituents.

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754